

VVSG 2.0 Core Requirements

John Wack Benjamin Long August 16, 2019



- In VVSG 2.0
 - a voting system is
 - a configuration of process (functions) and technology
 - election processes
 - are formed by composing functions together
 - processes and functions
 - are realized in various choices of technology
- The task of the standard is to enable one who uses it to:
 - look at a given voting system implementation [P1/1.3]
 - clearly perceive its intended configuration of functions (i.e., vote casting, counting, etc.)
 - determine whether or not those functions
 - are correct (accurate) according to functional specification [P1/1.1,1.2]
 - are correctly implemented in any technology using best practices [P2/2.1-2.7]
 - preserve intended properties in these implementations [P3 P15]
 - e.g., security, usability, accessibility, interoperability, reliability, accuracy, integrity, etc.
- Because VVSG 2.0 is scoped by HAVA, it:
 - doesn't cover entire election processes (i.e., not voter registration, etc.)
 - only covers voting processes (which is also consistent with prior voting standards)



How the Core Principles Fit With the Rest

- Core principles fit together in the process/functional paradigm as follows:
 - Processes: Principle 1
 - Specify functions/processes that
 - are logically correct and accurate
 - handle realistic loads; and
 - are testable
 - Practices: Principle 2
 - Implement functions/process using best practices
 - Properties: Principles 3-15
 - Specify the properties satisfied by implemented functions/processes



Principle 1: High Quality Design

The voting system is designed to accurately, completely, and robustly carry out election processes.

Meaning

- This is about
 - a) specification and
 - b) **evaluation** of implementations based on specifications.
- Focuses on 3 things in 3 guidelines 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3
 - 1.1 preconditions for specification: voting processes, functions, and variations
 - 1.2 accuracy, realistic volume, defined limits
 - 1.3 testability
- Has 146 total requirements

Guidelines

- 1.1 The voting system is designed using commonly-accepted election process specifications.
- 1.2 The voting system is designed to function correctly under real-world operating conditions.
- 1.3 Voting system design supports evaluation methods enabling testers to clearly distinguish systems that correctly implement specified properties from those that do not.



Guideline 1.1: Specification of Process, Functions, and Logic

 Guideline 1.1 - The voting system is designed using commonly-accepted election process specifications.

Summary:

This is about specification of process, functions, and logic.

Notes:

- One can't expect to build or test functions that aren't well-specified.
- Guidelines in the first principle are about making sure we have a sufficient specification of processes and functions.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Election processes (Requirements by Voting Activity)
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Voting variations
- EAC 17 functions

Requirements

• 1.1.1-A ...1.1.10-U



Guideline 1.2: Accuracy, Logical Limitations, and Volume Testing

- Guideline 1.2 The voting system is designed to function correctly under real-world operating conditions.
- Notes:
 - Any process is not realistically correct if it is not accurate.
 - Accuracy testing is essential for ensuring that well-specified processes are correctly implemented in whatever technologies are selected.
 - This is where accuracy from previously standards resides (which tests to ensure that correct functionality is preserved across operations).
 - Specifying technology configurations so that they can support realistic elections sizes, complexities, and loads i.e., realistically modeling the logic and limits of real elections and basing them on good logical models - is another aspect of the first principle.
 - This is where traditional volume and load testing (mock elections) is addressed from previous standards.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 accuracy, misfeed rate requirements/testing
- VVSG-2007 volume testing
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 logical limits (TDP)

- 1.2-A Assessment of accuracy
- •
- 1.2-B Assessment of reliability
- •
- 1.2-F Misfeed rate benchmark
- 1.2-G Respond gracefully to stress of system limits
- 1.2-H Handle realistic volume



Guideline 1.3: Testability, Conformance Clause, and Implementation Clause

• **Guideline 1.3** - Voting system design supports evaluation methods enabling testers to clearly distinguish systems that correctly implement specified properties from those that do not.

Summary:

- This is essentially about:
 - testability
 - the conformance-clause for any system (as defined by the standard)
 - the implementation-clause for any system (as claimed by a manufacturer)
 - Information supporting evaluation (TDP, documentation, test information)

Notes:

- The standard should have a way to
 - map requirements to applicable aspects of given system
 - determine clearly and unambiguously:
 - What the precise configuration of functions is intended for that system,
 - How they are realized,
 - How they can be observed, and
 - How they can be compared to the specified functions and properties

• This guideline is realized:

- in the way the standard is organized so that it may be effectively mapped to particular systems/configurations (i.e., conformance clause, implementation statement, TDP, etc.); and
- in the ability of specific tests to carry out their function (i.e., clarity and accuracy of test methods and assertions).

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 conformance clause for each system of functions (as defined by the standard)
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 implementation statement/clause for each system of functions (as claimed by a manufacturer)
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 TDP and documentation
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 tests and testing information

Requirements:

Covered in TDP, documentation, and testing requirements being transferred to EAC manuals



Principle 2: High Quality Implementation

The voting system is implemented using high quality best practices.

Meaning

- This is about
 - implementation of specifications using best practices in hardware, software, telecom, data, quality assurance, and configuration management: the primary technology-oriented components of previous standards
- Has 7 guidelines
- Has 85 total requirements

Guidelines

- 2.1 The voting system and its software are implemented using trustworthy materials and best practices in software development.
- 2.2 The voting system is implemented using best practice user-centered design methods, for a wide range of representative voters, including those with and without disabilities, and election workers.
- **2.3** Voting system logic is clear, meaningful, and well-structured.
- 2.4 Voting system structure is modular, scalable, and robust.
- 2.5 The voting system supports system processes and data with integrity.
- 2.6 The voting system handles errors robustly and gracefully recovers from failure.
- 2.7 The voting system performs reliably in anticipated physical environments.



Guideline 2.1: Implement Systems Using High Quality Materials and Software Development Best Practices

- Guideline 2.1 The voting system and its software are implemented using trustworthy materials and best practices in software development.
- Summary:
 - This is essentially about engineering and implementation best practices.
- Notes:
 - Indicates
 - Use of trustworthy materials (in general)
 - Use of specific best practices for software (in specific)
- Based on Information from Previous Standards:
 - VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Requirements about uses of high-quality materials and parts
 - VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 SW engineering, workmanship, and assurance
 - VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 QA/CM best practices
- Requirements:
 - 2.1-A Acceptable programming languages
 - ...
 - 2.1-C Acceptable coding conventions
 - 2.1.1-A General build quality
 - 2.1.1- B, C High quality products, parts
 - ...
 - 2.1.1-E Durability
 - 2.1.2 Maintainability



Guideline 2.2: Implement Systems Using Human Factors Best Practices

Covered previously by Sharon Laskowski in U/A briefing



Guideline 2.3: Implement System Logic Using (HW, FW, SW) Logic Development Best Practices

Guideline 2.3 - Voting system logic is clear, meaningful, and well-structured.

Summary:

• This is about the design and implementation of logic in a voting system, no matter where it occurs – in software, firmware, hardware, processes, functions, etc.

Notes:

- This is about the following primary ideas: a) Clear logic (Good syntax), b) Meaningful logic (Good semantics), c) Well-structured logic (Good structure)
- This guideline (2.3) focuses on the **logic** of the system whereas the next guideline (2.4) focuses on the **architecture** of the system.
- **Logic** include many specific kinds of logic such as: logic in fully developed by a manufacturer, in COTS, in libraries (border logic), obtained from 3rd-parties by modified by manufacturers, in firmware, in hardware, etc.
- Meaningfulness related to important considerations regarding:
 - the ability of a tester / reviewer / test (method, tool, protocol) / maintainer to
 - easily and clearly understand the intended logic/process
 - be able to trace its flows of inputs/outputs/control, etc.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Workmanship (for HW, SW, etc.)
- VVSG 2007 types of logic
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 SW modularity, modules described in TDP and other documentation

- 2.3-A Block-structured exception handling
- 2.3-B Wrapping legacy code
- 2.3-C Separation of code and data
- 2.3.1 Control flow structures



Guideline 2.4: Implement System Structure Using System Engineering Best Practices

- Guideline 2.4 Voting system structure is modular, scalable, and robust.
- Summary:
 - This is about the design and implementation of structure/architectures (systems of elements) (and not about logic, which is covered in 2.3).
- Notes:
 - Modular apply the same kinds of ideas from 2.3, but at the systems level
 - Organize the design of the overall system to manage the complexity it represents
 - Decompose system's deployable units into entities that connect, compose, and work together to achieve specified/ implemented functions and processes
 - Supports system properties: portability, easily extensible, etc.
 - **Scalable -** can easily change the size of the system:
 - without it breaking and while still correctly and accurately perform its specified/implemented functions
 - Robust can vary the demands on the system so that the system continues to function in a reliable fashion, over time
 - A robust system organizes the implementation of its processes and functions so that
 - the system does not become unstable or inoperable at the slightest variation in demands, loads, or operating conditions

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 modularity
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 reliability

- 2.4-A Modularity
- 2.4-B Module testability
- 2.4-C Module size and identification
- 2.4-D Lookup tables in separate files



Guideline 2.5: Implement System with High Integrity Best Practices

- Guideline 2.5 The voting system supports system processes and data with integrity.
- Summary:
 - This is about the logical and structural integrity at all layers of the implementation of the system.
- Notes:
 - To ensure the integrity of an implemented process or of data flowing through the system, one must take specific steps at each layer of the technology stack to make sure this has been addressed.
 - Data integrity -
 - ensure data integrity via error correction/detection at the level of error correction codes/algorithms
 - preserve the integrity of transmitted data e.g., when casting a vote and the network/modem connection is lost
 - Process integrity to ensure that well-specified processes and logic (from P1) have been correctly implemented and are correctly and accurately operating
 - In testing as well as in operations, this can be achieved by:
 - Employing robust, defensive programming and design practices
 - wherein processes have defined conditions (pre- and post-conditions, as well as invariant conditions) that must be met whether these are implemented in software, procedure, etc.
 - This guideline is different from other principles/guidelines in security e.g., "system integrity" e.g. installation and baseline-management vs. implementation and operation

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 integrity of data, transmission, error correction
- Requirements:
 - 2.5-A Avoid self-modifying code
 - 2.5-B Avoid unsafe concurrency
 - 2.5.1 Code integrity, 2.5.2 input/output errors, 2.5.3 output protection, 2.5.4 error handling, common cases: overflow, etc.



Guideline 2.6: Implement Systems with Robust Error Handling and Graceful Failure Recovery

- Guideline 2.6 The voting system handles errors robustly and gracefully recovers from failure.
- Summary:
 - This is about the system's error detection, correction, and recovery.
- Notes:
 - Graceful recovery from failure
 - if an error occurs:
 - in logic, process, and data
 - during telecommunications, transmission, reception, decoding, encoding, encryption, decryption, etc...
 - in interaction with the system
 - in a node or a subsystem level
 - > the system, as a whole, should employ well-known reliability strategies in each case.
 - Reliability strategies often imply strategies of redundancy.
 - Reliability in error-correction codes (an element of previous standards) often operates on the principle of redundant coding.
 - Reliability at the system level implies that, where appropriate, one might need to load-share, creating redundant or
 failover systems so that the overall process being carried out by someone can continue successfully, even if a given
 subsystem fails, its failover configuration can robustly recover from that error.
 - Avoid single points of failure
 - These general ideas show up in every discipline engineering, U/A, security, etc.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 error handling
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 failure processing
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 failure recovery
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 error detection/correction
- Requirements:
 - 2.6-A Surviving device failure
 - 2.6-B No compromising voting or audit data
 - 2.6-C Surviving component failure
 - 2.6-D Controlled recovery
 - ...



Guideline 2.7: Implement Systems Using Reliability and Testing (HW, Environment) Best Practices

- **Guideline 2.7** The voting system performs reliably in anticipated physical environments.
- Summary:
 - This is about the reliability, hardware, and physical climate, environmental, and stress testing.
- Notes:
 - This guideline
 - Addresses traditional hardware testing
 - Addresses various kinds of physical/stress testing whether it relates to electrical stress (EMC, etc.), shock stress, environmental stress (temperature, humidity), etc.
 - Reflects the physical environments in which a voting system may be stored, transported, or operated
 - Requires that the system continue to correctly and accurately perform its functions under these conditions

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 reliability
- VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 HW / physical environmental testing

- 2.7-A Ability to function in physical environment (non-operating)
- 2.7-B Ability to support maintenance and repair in physical environment conditions
- 2.7-C Ability to support transport and storage in physical environment conditions
- 2.7-D Ability to support storage temperatures in physical environment
- 2.7-E Ability to support storage humidity levels in physical environment
- •
- 2.7-G Ability to operate as intended at low and high temperatures
- 2.7.1 Ability to withstand electrical disturbances



Principle 3: Transparency

The voting system and voting processes are designed to provide transparency.

Meaning

- This is about
 - the voting system being designed and implemented such that it provides transparency to its operations and accuracy, via clear documentation and capability to inspect its workings
- Has 3 guidelines
- Has 82 total requirements

Guidelines

- 3.1 The documentation describing the voting system design, operation, accessibility features, security measures, and other aspects of the voting system can be read and understood
- **3.2** The processes and transactions, both physical and digital, associated with the voting system are readily available for inspection
- 3.3 The public can understand and verify the operations of the voting system throughout the entirety of the election



Guideline 3.1: The documentation describing the voting system design, operation, accessibility features, security measures, and other aspects of the voting system can be read and understood

Summary:

This addresses transparency via requirements to have complete, clear documentation

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Complete, usable instructions for how to use the voting system and all features
 - Explanations of how the voting system works so that it can be better understood and audited for correctness

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Requirements for technical data package

- 3.1.1 System overview
- 3.1.2 System performance
- 3.1.3 System security documentation
- 3.1.4 Software Installation
- 3.1.5 System operations
- 3.1.6 System Maintenance
- 3.1.7 Training material



Guideline 3.2: The processes and transactions, both physical and digital, associated with the voting system are readily available for inspection

Summary:

 This addresses documentation that explains how the voting system must be configured before opening the polls.

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Complete, usable overviews of the setup process
 - Assurance that the voting system is configured properly

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Requirements for voting device setup

- 3.2-A Setup inspection process
- 3.2-B Minimum properties included in the setup inspection process
- 3.2-C Setup inspection record generation
- 3.2-D Installed software identification procedure
- 3.2-E Software integrity verification procedure
- 3.2-F Election information value
- 3.2-G Maximum and minimum values of election information storage
- 3.2-H Variable value inspection procedure
- 3.2-I Backup power operational range
- 3.2-J Backup power inspection procedure
- 3.2-K Cabling connectivity inspection procedure
- ...



Guideline 3.3: - The public can understand and verify the operations of the voting system throughout the entirety of the election

Summary:

 This addresses documentation for any use of data encodings, logging, and other features that otherwise would prevent inspection and harm transparency.

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Open specification of logging file and contents
 - Full documentation of encodings including data packing prior to encoding

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 1.0, 1.1, 2007 Requirements for documentation

- 3.3-A System security, system event logging
- 3.3-B Specification of common data format usage
- 3.3-C Bar and other codes
- 3.3-D Encodings
- 3.3-E Audit



Principle 4: Interoperability

The voting system is designed to support interoperability in its interfaces to external systems, its interfaces to internal components, its data, and its peripherals.

Meaning

- This is about
 - The voting system's devices interfacing to each other and to the external world, using NIST common data formats and industry-standard protocols and interfaces.
 - Goal is that devices can be more easily used and swapped with devices from multiple manufacturers and that the EAC can implement component certification.
- Has 4 guidelines
- Has 11 total requirements

Guidelines

- 4.1 Voting system data that is imported, exported, or otherwise reported, is in an interoperable format
- 4.2 Standard, publicly-available formats for other types of data are used, where available
- 4.3 Widely-used hardware interfaces and communications protocols are used
- 4.4 Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) devices can be used if they meet applicable VVSG requirements



Guideline 4.1: Capability to use common data formats for imports and exports

Summary:

 Requirements to include capability to use the NIST common data formats and document the usage

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Use of the CDFs for many imports and exports as an alternative to manufacturer's format.
 - Must also document how the manufacturer uses the format.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 2007 suggestions to use a common data format

- 4.1-A Data export and exchange format
- 4.1-B Election programming data input and output
- 4.1-C Tabulator report data
- 4.1-D Exchange of cast vote records (CVRs)
- 4.1-E Exchange of voting device election event logs
- 4.1-F Voting device event code documentation
- 4.1-G Specification of common format usage



Guideline 4.2: Standard, publicly-available formats for other types of data are used, where available.

Summary:

 Requirements to use freely available public standards where CDFs are not available or applicable.

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Using the CDFs if possible, otherwise use a format that is convenient for others.
 - If not format/standard exists, manufacturer must make their format available without fee.

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 2007 suggestions for interoperability

- 4.2-A Standard formats
- 4.2-B Public documented manufacturer formats



Guideline 4.3: Widely-used hardware interfaces and communications protocols are used.

Summary:

 Requirements to use non-proprietary hardware interfaces and wireless protocols.

Notes:

- Indicates
 - Use of standards such as USB and IEEE 802.
 - Ensuring that the standard is used widely and is freely available

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

VVSG 2007 suggestions to use a common interfaces

• Requirements:

4.3-A – Standard device interfaces



Guideline 4.4 - Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) devices can be used if they meet applicable VVSG requirements.

Summary:

 COTS devices are allowed as part of the voting system as long as all relevant VVSG requirements are still satisfied.

Notes:

- Allows for more use of COTs
- Simplification of electrical requirements also helps

Based on Information from Previous Standards:

2007 VVSG

Requirements:

4.4-A - COTS devices meet applicable requirements



Questions?

John Wack - john.wack@nist.gov
Benjamin Long - benjamin.long@nist.gov